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When looking at long-term outcomes, endodontic and restorative treatments are inseparable. Endodontic procedures, and how they are performed have considerable effect on the success and failure of the restorative treatment. Similarly, the restorative treatment affects the long-term outcome of the endodontics. I provided the content for a 2004 issue of Colleagues for Excellence titled “Restoration of endodontically treated teeth: The endodontist’s perspective.” Much of that material still applies 16 years later, such as the importance of proper isolation, performing bonding procedures properly and the importance of a ferrule. There is new evidence in some areas and some perspectives have changed. These will be the focus of this issue.

An important advancement in “endo-restorative” has been the use of assistant side oculars with the dental operating microscope, which allows the assistant to see what the clinician sees. The assistant oculars are helpful for most dental procedures, but are particularly helpful for restorative treatment. In teeth with substantial loss of tooth structure and/or deep finish lines, assistant side oculars make it easy for the assistant to help: maintain isolation, help with decay removal, place a matrix, shape and finish a restoration, and place finish lines. Figure 1 shows Dr. Carlos Portoles and his assistants working on a patient. Note how both are sitting in a comfortable upright position, supported by arm rests, and are focused on the same clinical field through the microscope.

Excellence in endodontics is all about providing consistent results. There are many aspects of endodontic outcomes over which the clinician has little control such as the patient’s biology, physiology, microbiology and compliance. One important factor we can control is the quality of the initial restorative treatment. Even teeth with poorly fitting crowns are likely to last a long time with high-quality endodontics and foundational restorative treatment. Following completion of the endodontics, immediate restoration by a clinician knowledgeable and skilled in restorative dentistry, is an important factor in longevity. Nothing good happens when restoration is delayed (1-3). Figure 2 shows a tooth that was temporized after completion of the endodontics. The patient never had it restored, ultimately resulting in extraction. When this outcome occurs, the quality of the endodontics becomes irrelevant.

One of the impacts of implants has been increased emphasis on predictability and longevity. This has led to less emphasis on “dental heroics” to try to save every tooth, which, in turn, has led to an emphasis on the preservation of tooth structure during endodontic and restorative procedures. If you look at extraction data for endodontically treated teeth over the longer term, only a small percentage were extracted due to failure of the endodontics. Six studies are shown in Table 1, with long term recalls. The percentage of teeth extracted due to endodontic failure is very low compared to the total number extracted. The great majority were extracted due to structural failure, decay or other factors related to the restorative dentistry. There is considerable evidence linking the strength of a tooth to the amount of remaining tooth structure (4-9). When you look at endodontically treated teeth in your practice that were treated 20 or 30 years ago, one common characteristic tends to be considerable remaining tooth structure. Often the endodontic treatment doesn’t look so good. The root canal and restorative

Table 1: Extractions due to failure of the endodontic treatment/total extractions (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Teeth Extracted</th>
<th>Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table 1: Six studies are shown, with long term recalls.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sjogren (26)</td>
<td>2/86 (3%)</td>
<td>8-10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fonzar (27)</td>
<td>8/68 (12%) Retreatments 3/25 (12%)</td>
<td>&gt; 10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee (28)</td>
<td>19/162 (12%)</td>
<td>Up to 21 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landys Boren (29)</td>
<td>5/69 (7%)</td>
<td>&gt; 10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prati (30)</td>
<td>2/41 (5%)</td>
<td>20 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olcay (31)</td>
<td>15/281 (5%)</td>
<td>Up to 10 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The root canal and restorative treatment shown here was more than 30 years old, according to the patient.

In the past 10 years or so there has been a movement in endodontics that is sometimes referred to as "minimally invasive endodontics," or MIE. Perhaps a better term would be “minimally destructive endodontics,” since all the procedures we do are destructive to the tooth to some extent (10). The exact definition varies, but there are three aspects to MIE: 1) The access preparation 2) The taper of the canal preparation and 3) The apical size. The idea is to remove only enough tooth structure in all three areas to accomplish the endodontic treatment. Advocates of MIE say the natural apical size and location should be preserved, taper should be kept to a minimum to preserve cervical dentin, a key component in the strength of the tooth, and access should be only large enough to allow the endodontic procedures to be completed successfully. The initial size and shape of the canal should determine the final preparation, rather than the traditional ideas of a large access for visibility, .04 or .06 canal taper and a predetermined minimal apical size such as 40. The combination of CBCT and excellent microscope skills allow a clinician to maintain more tooth structure than in the past. It appears that new technologies will bring additional advances in our ability to preserve tooth structure during endodontic procedures. More on new technologies later.

There is not very much literature to date on the topic of MIE. Most of the studies evaluated the strength of the teeth with small or large access preparations. The majority of laboratory studies show that teeth are stronger with smaller access cavities (4, 6, 9, 11-13). Several studies showed no difference (14-16). If there is a strengthening effect, it is not known if it is clinically relevant. This would be difficult to study, so we probably will never know the answer for certain. Advocates of MIE suggest that our default position should be to preserve tooth structure when possible, a concept that is hard to dispute.

Conservative endodontics means different things to different clinicians. The controversial “Ninja” access is not for everyone and is a moot point most of the time because most premolars and molars are broken down or heavily restored long before they need endodontic treatment. Nonetheless, when the opportunity presents, highly skilled clinicians can do excellent endodontic treatment through a very small access cavity, even in molars. Figure 5 shows an example of what is possible, in a tooth treated by an endodontist. Again, our default position should be to remove only enough tooth structure to get the job done.

One of the concerns about smaller access cavities and shapes is whether there is adequate irrigation in the apical 1/3. We assume more irrigation is better, but unfortunately, we don’t know how much is enough or whether the smaller shapes affect success and failure rates compared to conventional treatment in the short or long term. Those who have been doing conservative shaping for some time report that the healing rates remain quite high. Note how “Ninja” access is not for everyone and is a moot point most of the time because most premolars and molars are broken down or heavily restored long before they need endodontic treatment. Nonetheless, when the opportunity presents, highly skilled clinicians can do excellent endodontic treatment through a very small access cavity, even in molars. Figure 5 shows an example of what is possible, in a tooth treated by an endodontist. Again, our default position should be to remove only enough tooth structure to get the job done.
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complete healing was accomplished in figures 5 and 6, with minimal weakening of the tooth. A number of new technologies purport to provide more effective irrigation, including for smaller canal sizes and shapes. There has been a lot of interest in recent years in adjuncts to irrigation particularly for lasers such as the Waterlase, and newer irrigating devices such as GentleWave. These have been shown to clean and disinfect the canals effectively (17-20), but thus far it is not known if they improve outcomes.

Additive rather than subtractive restorative dentistry has been around for many years in Europe, but has just recently started gaining traction in the U.S. It is a long tradition in the U.S. to place cuspal coverage restorations on most or all teeth after endodontic treatment. Crowns make sense for teeth with considerable loss of tooth structure, but for teeth that are largely intact, particularly for those with considerable enamel available for bonding, more conservative replacement of tooth structure with additive bonded restorations may result in stronger, more durable teeth. Depending on the design, a crown preparation may remove up to 70% of the coronal tooth structure (21, 22). Even with a conservative access cavity, there is not much tooth structure left after traditional shoulder type preparations, particularly for anterior teeth and premolars. If an indirect, cuspal coverage restoration is not planned, tight contacts and excellent contours can be obtained with composite or amalgam with one of the matrix systems that incorporates the Bitine Ring, as shown in figure 7. A fairly recent addition to the concept of additive dentistry called the Bioclear system was developed. It incorporates a clear matrix, special wedges and a Bitine ring, and provides consistently tight, well contoured contacts. The concept includes minimal removal of tooth structure, partial cuspal coverage and “injection molding” of heated composite. Figure 8 shows a tooth restored with the Bioclear system. Note the conservation of tooth structure in both the endodontic and restorative procedures, partial cuspal coverage, and excellent mesial contact and interproximal contours. This is endo-restorative treatment performed at a very high level.

For those restorative dentists who feel strongly that full cuspal coverage is necessary after endodontic treatment, conservative, indirect restorations may be placed that minimize removal
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from 2004 involves fiber posts. Most of us were taught that the purpose of a post is to retain the core and that posts don’t make teeth stronger. The first part of the statement remains true, but the second part is highly doubtful based on the fiber post literature starting in 2003. There are at least 36 studies that show fiber posts make teeth more resistant to fracture. Three of them are referenced here (23-25). There is possibly no other assertion so well supported in the dental literature. A caveat is that once the endodontic treatment is complete, a post is fit to the existing canal space rather than enlarging the canal to fit the post. Because of the strengthening effect, fiber posts are indicated in many anterior teeth and premolars. This is particularly true when completing the endodontic treatment through an existing crown since the amount of remaining coronal tooth structure is unknown. Placement of a fiber post doesn’t guarantee long-term success, but it improves the odds.

According to the literature, fiber posts fail most commonly in two ways: they pull out or snap off. Pull out should not be much of an issue, however, if there is adequate post length, the dentin was cleaned thoroughly, and proper bonding procedures are followed. The author places more than one post whenever possible, which reduces the probability of both types of failures, as shown in figure 10. This is a change from 2004.

Another development which has enhanced the principles of MIE has been the use of CBCT imaging for image-guided treatment (IGT). CBCT imaging can be used to help guide access location, angulation and depth. New technologies have taken this a step farther by physically guiding the access preparation. This is particularly useful for teeth where the canal is not visible on radiographs in the cervical area of the tooth, but can be used with any tooth. One method utilizes a solid drill guide, similar to those used for implants. This is sometimes referred to as “static guidance.” An example of a static drill guide is shown in figure 11. More recently “dynamic guidance” has been developed. CBCT data is inputted into a device that provides visual, real

![Figure 9: Whenever possible conservative endodontics and conservative restorative treatment should be encouraged, as shown here.](image)

![Figure 10: The author places more than one post whenever possible, which reduces the probability of pull out and snap off failures. This is a change from 2004.](image)

![Figure 11: An example of a static drill guide is shown here courtesy of Dr. Gary Benjamin.](image)
time guidance on a computer screen, somewhat like a computer game. One example is the X-Nav system, shown in figure 12. Another example is the Navident system shown in figure 13. An advantage of dynamic guidance is that it doesn’t require impressions or laboratory procedures so the clinical procedure can be performed the same day as the CBCT imaging is obtained. The new guided access technologies allow more conservative root canal treatment than traditional methods and some very creative solutions. An example of multiple access points made with X-Nav is shown in figure 14. IGT, in combination with

more efficient irrigation methods may take us into in a new era of conservation of tooth structure, and greater longevity of the teeth we treat.

In our endodontic practice, we do a few things for our referring doctors that readers might consider. After completion of the buildup we often “rough prep” the teeth, so all the restorative dentist has to do is refine the preparation and place finish lines. In figure 15 we removed the bridge and decay, endodontic treatment was completed, a buildup was placed and the tooth was rough prepped in preparation for a new bridge. For areas with deep restorations we sometimes place a finish line on tooth structure for the referring doctor. An example is shown in figure 16. With the microscope, you can usually see these areas very clearly because of the high magnification and illumination. With a little practice, a clinician can learn to place beautiful smooth finish lines under the microscope. For endodontists: anything you can do to help your restorative dentists will be appreciated. For restorative dentists: your specialists should be doing things to make your life easier and helping you to be successful.

In our case, we asked permission. In the early years of our practice, we placed cotton and Cavit, like the other endodontists in town. Soon we started seeing problems coming back like unrestored teeth with decay as shown in figure 2, fractures, perforations, and sometimes a tooth restored with the cotton pellet still in the chamber. We invited our top 50 referrers to dinner, showed them some of the literature on the benefits of immediate restoration and the problem cases we were seeing resulting from cotton and Cavit temporization. We

How does an endodontist get started doing restorative dentistry, particularly if that is not the local custom? In our case, we asked permission. In the early years of our practice,
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Figure 15: The bridge and decay were removed, endodontic treatment was completed, a buildup was placed and the tooth was rough-prepped in preparation for a new bridge.

Figure 16: For areas with deep restorations, we sometimes place a finish line on tooth structure for the referring doctor.

asked their permission to start restoring access cavities and doing the foundational restorative treatment. We said, “Restorative dentistry is a money loser for us, but we would like to start doing it because we believe this is the best thing for your patients. Let us start with difficult buildups on upper second molars, the ones you don’t want to do anyway, and see what you think.” Initially, 35/50 gave us permission and we eventually won over the rest, so that we now restore close to 100% of the teeth we treat. We feel, and were able to convince our referring doctors, that it was the best thing for their patients. If endodontists are going to do restorative dentistry, they must be able to do it very well. The quality of their restorative work, just like their endodontics, should speak for itself.
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Did you enjoy this issue of Colleagues? Are there topics you would like to cover in the future? We want to hear from you! Send your comments and questions to the American Association of Endodontists at the address below, and visit the Colleagues online archive at aae.org/colleagues for back issues of the newsletter.